

COM814 Project – Final Marking Sheet 2015-16

Student: John Burke

Date: 20-9-16

Examiner: Daniel Kelly

Supervisor / 2nd Marker: ~~MM~~ (delete)

Areas	Criteria	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Borderline	Fail	N/A	Commentary
<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: auto; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">40</div>	From Dissertation							Well researched and defined problem. Requirements well thought out and linked with problem. Well designed software. <hr/> Agile method used well. Very good demo with a number of working features. Good UI design. <hr/> Well described software.
	• problem definition / requirements specification	✓						
	• systematic approach to development		✓					
	• testing process documented		✓					
	From Demonstration							
	• robustness of software		✓					
	• range of functionality	✓	✓					
	• data validation	✓						
	• usability of HCI	✓						
	• consistency with stated functionality of software			✓				
	• understanding of software features			✓				
	From Viva							
• understanding of software technology used			✓					
• understanding of software features implemented	✓							
<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: auto; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">15</div>	From Dissertation							Overall very good document. Well written and organised. <hr/> Well described demo showcasing all functionality. <hr/> Well spoken and coherent. Answered all questions well.
	• documentation structure and completeness	✓						
	• readability		✓					
	From Demonstration							
	• organized and structured	✓						
	• response to questions		✓					
	From Viva							
	• composure & coherence		✓					
• response to questions	✓							
<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: auto; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">16</div>	From Dissertation							Good discussion of limitations.
	• justification for decisions made throughout project		✓					
	• awareness of related work & technologies		✓					
	• thoroughness of evaluation process		✓					
	From Viva							
	• ability to discuss limitations of work	✓						
• discuss potential improvements	✓							

Areas	Criteria		Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Borderline	Fail	N/A	Commentary
Professional Engagement (10%) <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center; margin: 5px auto;">8</div>	From Supervisor								
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> took initiative as appropriate 	✓							<i>Overall very good.</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> met regularly with supervisor 	✓							
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> responded to suggestions 	✓							
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> kept satisfactory project log 		✓						
Total (100%) <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center; margin: 5px auto;">79</div>	Agreed Total <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center; margin: 5px auto;">79</div>	Scaled (70%) <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center; margin: 5px auto;">55.3</div>	Earlier Components (30%) <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center; margin: 5px auto;">21.3</div>		Overall Mark 77 <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center; margin: 5px auto;"> 79 70 </div>				
Overall Comments <i>Overall, very good documentation. Good background with well thought out requirements. Good software design with relevant UML diagrams. Good description of implementation and thorough testing.</i>									